LEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, LUQ.

NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

CARL RAGASA AND KANANI RAGASA,

) Civil No. ) 03-00540 BMK

Plaintiffs,

VS.

COUNTY OF KAUAI, KAUAI FIRE
DEPARTMENT, DAVID SPROAT, ROBERT
KADEN, SIDNEY KINI, AND ETHAN SAGE,)

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ.

taken on behalf of Defendant, Ethan Sage, at the Law Offices of Richards & Zenger, 3016 Umi Street, Suites 204 and 211B, Lihue, Hawaii 96766, commencing at 11:45 a.m. on November 8, 2005, pursuant to notice.

TAKEN BEFORE:

M. SHARON SOUZA, CSR NO. 184

Notary Public, State of Hawaii

PACIFIC REPORTING SERVICES UNLIMITED

737 Bishop Street, Suite 1470

Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

(808) 524-PRSU

EXHIBIT I

L\_POSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, L.Q. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

	MICHAEL SOONG, L.Q. NOVEMBER 8, 2
Pag	ge 2 Page
1 APPEARANCES: 2 For Plaintiffs MARK ZENGER, ESQ. Richards & Zenger 3016 Umi Street Suites 204 and 211B Lihue, Hawaii 96766 5 For Defendant DARWIN L.D. CHING, ESQ. Ethan Sage 1001 Bishop Street American Savings Tower Suite 1008 7 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 8 For Defendants CORLIS J. CHANG, ESQ. County of Kauai, Goodsill Anderson Quinn & Stifel Department, 1099 Alakea Street David Sproat, Suite 1800 Robert Kaden and Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 11 Sidney Kini (By telephone conference) ALSO PRESENT: Carl Ragasa 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2005  11:45  (The Reporter's Disclosure Statement was made available to all counsel prior to the commencement of the following proceedings.)  MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ.  called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MR. CHING:  Q. Tell us your name for the record.  A. Michael Soong.  Q. Mr. Soong, first of all, I represent Ethan Sage in this case. I want to thank you for your cooperation. I know we had to reschedule this. It's been inconvenient for you, I know, but I want to thank you for coming today.  A. Not a problem.  Q. Tell us your address and phone number.  A. I live in Kapaa.  Can you give me a business address and phone?  A. Business address is 3088 Ekahi Street Suite.
21 22 23 24 25 Page 1	22 A. Business address is 3088 Ekahi Street, Suite 23 201, Lihue, Hawaii 96766. Phone number there is 24 246-1010. 25 Q. Mr. Soong, were you the Kauai County
) PVANDAMENTATION	1 Prosecutor back in approximately the year of 2002,
2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NUMBE 3 Mr. Ching 4	R 2 2003?
4 5 Mr. Zenger 15 6 7	<ul> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 Q. Okay. And could you tell me as the duly</li> <li>5 elected County Prosecutor, what were your duties with</li> <li>6 respect to charging decisions?</li> </ul>
EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION:	7 A. With respect to charging decisions, the
9	8 office, in general, would get police reports from 9 Kauai Police Department. Those reports would be
0 A - E-mail Dated 10/22/02 9 1 B - E-mail Dated 10/22/02 9 2 C - E-mail Dated 8/28/02 9 3 D - Criminal Complaint	10 reviewed; a decision would be made whether or not a 11 case should be should go forward and end up in 12 prosecution.
E - Fire Department Memo Dated 6/4/02 16 F - Fire Department Memo Dated 9/29/02 16 G - Fire Department Memo Not Dated 16	13 Me, personally, for most of the time I was 14 there, I have handling the drug cases as well as the 15 homicide cases. 16 Q. Okay. As a Kauai County Prosecutor, did you
H Fire Department Memo Not Dated 16 I Statement of Ethan Sage Dated 10/3/02 16 J Declaration of Gerald Hurd 13	have the did you have any discretion in the charging decisions?  A. Yes. You know, we would look at initially
	you look at, first of all, whether there's probable cause; second, whether you can prove a case beyond a
POLYMent	reasonable doubt; and even whether or not if you can prove a case beyond a reasonable doubt, whether or
· ·	24 not the case warrants prosecution.
	25 Q. Under the ABA standards for prosecutors, your

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESO.

**NOVEMBER 8, 2005** 

Page 8

Page 9

Page 6

- duty is to seek justice, not merely convictions, is 1
- 2 that a fair statement?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. Pursuant to those duties and
- understanding, did you have a involvement in a case 5
- involving a person by the name of Carl Ragasa? 6 7
  - I did. Initially, I was not involved in the
- 8 case, but at some point, it was brought to my
- 9 attention and I reviewed the case.
- 10 O. Okay. Did there come a time when you made a
- 11 decision to have the case dismissed?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 13 And could you tell us what was the basis for Q.
- 14 that decision?
- Well, the basis was there was a lot of history 15 À.
- with the fire department and lifeguards. And some of 16
- it was political, not with me personally, but from 17
- what I recall hearing, initially, the fire department 18
- wanted to take over the ambulances. Right now they're 19
- privatized, and they had wanted it to become part of 20
- the fire department. From what I understood, just 21
- from talk going around the County, that as a condition 22
- of taking over the ambulances, the fire department was 23
- kind of forced to kind of take over the lifeguards. 24
- The fire department didn't want to take over the 25

lifeguards, and the lifeguards didn't want to be under the fire department.

And then what eventually happened was the ambulances stayed private, but the fire -- the lifeguards went under the fire department. And it wasn't -- I wouldn't say it wasn't a good fit, but it wasn't an easy transition.

8 Okay. And in your decision making to dismiss the Ragasa case, were you in any way deciding or 9

finding that there was no probable cause? 10

11 No, not at all. There was another incident 12

that involved the chief -- the fire chief and somebody

else. I'm not sure exactly all the details of it, but

I believe it involved the complaint against the chief 14 15

where he either verbally threatened or made some kind 16

of physical gesture, maybe raising of the hand or 17 something like that. 18

And that matter was referred for prosecution. We declined, I believe, or somebody in the office, I 19 believe, declined -- with the feeling that that was an 20

- internal matter that should be handled within the 21
- department. Similarly, when Mr. Ragasa's case came 22 up, I felt it was more of an internal matter that 23
- should be handled within the fire department. 24
- 25 Q. And for the lay person, so that we can

understand your testimony, you're not saying that

- there was no basis for the charges against Mr. Ragasa, 2
- but just that there would be probably a better forum 3
- internally within the fire department to resolve the 4
- 5 issue, is that what your statement is?
- Yes, yes. At some point, I did read the 6
- report. I was looking at some document you gave me. 7 8
- I know I read the initial report. I don't recall if
- Detective Sheldon's report was part of what I referred 9 10
- to at the time. It could have been, if it was there, then I would have. But on paper, there is a prima 11
- 12
- facia case, because there are statements by a couple 13
- individuals, I believe, that threatening words and
- conduct came from Mr. Ragasa. 14
- 15 Okay. And could you define "prima facia",
- 16 what you mean by that?
- 17 In reviewing the case, there is evidence that
- 18 satisfies all of the elements of the offense that we 19
- would be screening for.
- 20 Now, for the record, Mr. Soong, you came to
- this deposition approximately half an hour early, and 21 22
- you were given to review a copy of the deposition of -- the records deposition of Craig Anthony DeCosta 23
- upon written interrogatories, which was taken 24
- September 2nd -- '05, is that what you were referring 25

Page 7

to?

1

- 2 Á. Yes, I did read that, and there was some
- 3 documents attached to it.
- Right. Additionally, you were given copies of 4
- e-mails, which were -- which we'll mark as deposition 5 6
- exhibit 1 -- I guess A, B, C --
- (Exhibit numbers A, B, and C are marked for 7 8 identification.)
- 9 I'm going to show you what has been marked as
- deposition Exhibits A, B, C. And I'm going to ask 10
- you, are these the e-mails provided to you just before 11 12 the start of this deposition?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Do you recall seeing those e-mails, at least A 14 Q. 15
- and B, before this date?
- They're -- from me or part of it is from me. 16
- And I remember something similar to this, so I assume 17 18
- this is the actual e-mails from me and Marvin. 19
- Okay. Do those e-mails accurately reflect your thoughts and opinions regarding this case, at 20
- least with respect to your instructions to Roland 21
- 22 Talon?
- 23 As far as the one that's marked A, that he A.
- would dismiss the matter when it comes up, I do recall 24 25
  - talking to Roland or getting word to him that the case

LEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, EQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 10

1 was going to be dismissed.

2 If can review this one again, real quick --3

yeah, I think that's accurate as to what was

- happening, and why the case was going the way it went. 5 Are you referring to your e-mail response in Q.
- 6 Exhibit B?
- 7 That's correct. A.
- 8 O. Now, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit C,
- 9 which is -- and refer to you the bottom, where it
- talks about "To Marlene Texeira from Craig DeCosta", 10
- 11 who is Craig DeCosta?
- 12 Craig was the First Deputy Prosecuting A.
- 13 Attorney at the time.
- 14 Okay. Would you review that e-mail message? Q.
- 15 A. Okav.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let me ask you, did that conform with
- your understanding that Carl Ragasa one had been set 17
- in early spring and was dismissed without prejudice 18
- 19 because your office had not received the police
- reports, so you weren't able to review that before the 20
- case came up for arraignment and setting of trial? 21
- 22 I don't think I've ever seen this e-mail
- before. But I think as I -- as I was contacted by 23
- 24 Marvin, and then asked to see the file or look into
- the matter, I believe what is in here is correct -- is 25

Page 11

- that somehow Roland got the information to me or Craig 1
- got the information to me that the case had come up 2
- initially, was dismissed without prejudice, but then 3
- something was happening that was pushing it back to 4 5
- come on the calendar.
- 6 Q. Okay. Is it your -- let me put it this way.
- The penal summons complaint was signed by Roland Talon 7
- on or about October 7th -- we'll have this marked as
- Exhibit D, page 365. Does that refresh your
- 10 recollection as to how it came back on the calendar?
- 11 Well, not really, because I don't think I was
- involved in this case until later. I think the 12
- complaint was filed and the penal summons went out, 13
- and then I think I was contacted later, and said, 14 15
- "Hey, can you take a look at this case?" And this 16
- would have been done --
- 17 Q. By Roland Talon?
- -- by Roland. And I may have not even have 18
- 19 known this case was pending at that time.
- 20 O. Roland Talon, could you identify who he was?
- 21 Roland is a deputy prosecuting attorney. He's
- 22 now with Big Island Prosecutor's Office.
- 23 Q. Did he have charging duties at the time he was
- 24 assigned to the Kauai County Prosecutor's Office?
- 25 Yes, Roland was a district court deputy at the A.

time. And the district court deputies are assigned to

- 2 receive and review police reports and make their own 3
- charging decisions. Either Craig or myself was
- available for consultation at any time on screening 4 5
  - decisions. But on this case, I can say I wasn't
- consulted prior to that, so that charging decision was 6 made without my knowledge -- to the assigned deputy, 7 made it on his own.
- 9 Let's mark that so we don't lose it.

10 (Exhibit number D is marked for 11 identification.)

And just to follow-up on Roland, as part of 12 13

- his charging decisions would he review the case, determine if there is a prima facia case, that is, all 14
- the elements of the crime were there, and that there 15
- was also probable cause before he would charge a case? 16
- Yes. And he would have the same guidelines or 17 obligations that any other attorney in the office 18
- 19 would have as far as charging.
- Okay. What is the relationship in-between the 20
- prosecutor's office and police department as far as 21
- charging decisions? Does the police make the charging 22 23
- decisions or have input into it?
- Well, the police will send over documents with 24 A. 25
  - their charges -- I mean their charge is different than

Page 13

Page 12

- our charge, obviously. Their charge is what a person
- is arrested for. That -- it's kind of a starting 3
- point for the prosecutor, but really, our decision is 4
- independent of what the police do. A lot of times, 5
- they will have arrested somebody for something that we don't feel there is a case against, and the charge may 6
- 7 be a lesser charge or a different charge, or they may
- have missed something where they don't arrest them for 8 9
- something and we add on another charge. So ultimately, the charging decisions are with the 10
- 11 prosecutors.
- Now, with respect to the e-mails that you were 12 Q.
- receiving from Detective Marvin Rivera, was this 13 14 unusual?
- Yes and no. It wouldn't be -- I wouldn't say 15 A.
- it never happens that police would contact me, you 16 know, especially being the head of the office at that 17
- time, that they would contact me and say, "Hey, 18
- 19 there's this case, can you take a look at it?" It was
- somewhat unusual in that, I believe, they were 20
- related, Marvin and Mr. Ragasa. So on the one hand 21 22
- you're getting contacted about a case, but it may not necessarily be from an unbiased position. 23
- Okay. Now, in making the ultimate decision in 24 Q.
- this particular case, talking about bias, you had no 25

## DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

	METIAEL SOONG, ESQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005
Page	
l personal bias for or against Mr. Ragasa or Mr. Sage of	Page 16
1 2 wife Killi, would that be a fair statement?	
A. That's correct, I've never met either one of	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
7 mem.	( V 11 are record discussion had )
5 Q. Okay. And you weren't in any way making a	4 (Exhibit number E through I are marked for 5 identification.)
decision about the merits of the complaint with	
respect to Mr. Ragasa by Mr. Kini or Mr. Sage in term	MR. CHING: Before we go on, Corlis, it's 464, 395, 561, 562, 383, 384 through 391, I think it is.
of there being no ment, correct?	8 MS. CHANG: Is that the same set that we used
that is correct. And in fact Mr	9 in the other depo?
The state of the s	10
The marity of the	MS. CHANG: If you wouldn't mind it would a
I mas ne hearth it	12 helpful to call out the page number before you refer
The state of the s	to it, that would help me since I have it all in my
to that, I had known about Mr. Ragasa's case. I had heard about the chief's case earlier.	* I T MIN. ZENGER: Maybe I could ask Mr. Committee
16 Q. Did all what you testified to occur in the	take a couple minutes to look at these documents. It is
17 County of Kauai?	soling to assume that you've never seen this before tolk
18 A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge.	17 that HSHL!
19 Q. Okay. And I'll turn that over to Mr I	MR. CHING: Well, it may or may not be true,
20 guess how do you want to do it?	1 Decause II you looked at the notice report of land
MS. CHANG: I have no questions, so we'll make	20 one of them may have been included, so but I'm not
122 it easy. I liank you for appearing here Mr Soong 1	21 Suite what an have been included
appreciate your time. At this time I have no	22 THE WITNESS: I think I might have seen them
24 questions on behalf of my client.	1 11ght before I came in here.
25 THE WITNESS: Sounds good.	24 MR. ZENGER: Okay. 25 MR. CHING: I don't think
	25 MR. CHING: I don't think you saw the last
Page 15	Page 17
1 MR. ZENGER: I've got a couple. 2 EXAMINATION	one, the last one was the transcript.
2 EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. ZENGER:	THE WITNESS: This one?
(GL/1C)	MR. CHING: Yeah, that was included in here.
	T WIR. ZENGER: I don't have a whole lot of
I F TO A POIL IS DUSCUILIBUILIBUILIBUILIBUILIBUILIBUILIBUILI	questions for Mr. Soong. Maybe we can take just a
The state of the s	unce-influte oreak. He can review them and when help
7 A. That's correct. It's just a paper review.  8 Q. Right. And have you ever had a situation	done, we can go back on the record is that all right?
8 Q. Right. And have you ever had a situation 9 where, in your experience as a prosecutor, where an	MS. CHANG: That's fine with me. I'm inst
alleged victim has come in and made a statement, and	anging nere.
later told you, you know, that statement, and he laid	
	12 Q. Okay. Mr. Soong, while we were on the break,
1 14 report, and based your analysis on that statement to be	did you have a chance to review Exhibits E through I?
later that same alleged victim came in and said, "You	A. I es. I had reviewed the other once a little
	earner, then I read Exhibit I, right now
	10 Q. Now, earlier you said that when you reviewed
18 A. Something similar along those lines	the police report in this case, there was a prime
1 1 2 3 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	acia case for narassment, is that correct?
20 that hight change your analysis as to whether there 12	A. Yes.
21 was probable cause to charge the case is that right?	To the time to the
A. Hat S correct.	would that change your analysis of the case when you
23 Q. I'm going to show you what has been in this	2 ractored that into the police report?
24 H HIS 12	1 0.642 1 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
and I'm going to so the start of	This ited?
and I'm going to an thematical	4 MR. ZENGER: Sure.
case, these are exhibits, and I'm going to go through 1	Timished?

NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 18

hypothetical, especially since that's not the last 1

- 2 statement of Ethan Sage, and under the rule of
- completeness that would be an improper hypothetical. 3
- You would have to include his deposition where he
- indicated that those statements were coerced. So 5
- objection on that grounds. And it's not relevant,
- because the question here is what was his state of 7
- mind at the time of his dismissal, not subsequent 8 9

based on --

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MS. CHANG: I would join in those objections. BY MR. ZENGER:

12 Q. You can answer the question.

13 Let me say that would be something that you consider -- that would be one of all the things you 14 consider. Sometimes people recant, and they recant 15 because what they said was a lie. Other times people recant because of some other reason. The other thing 17 17 I would look at is, he indicated that he didn't say 18

certain things to an officer, maybe you go back and 20 reinterview that officer.

21 From what I understand, statements were made 21 not only directly to Mr. Sage, but with other people 22 present, the follow-up would be to find out what those 23 23 24

people say, whether -- whether the statements were 25 actually made or not. So this would certainly be

Page 19

2

4

5

21

22

23

24

something to consider. Aside from that, even when there is a case -- a prima facia case that could go forward, there were times if a victim doesn't want to prosecute, the case would not go forward based on that alone.

So this would certainly give you -- give the prosecutor's office something more to think about, to follow up on.

MR. ZENGER: Okay. I'm going to mark as next in order -- you don't have these yet, so I'll give you one, just have this marked as one.

(Off the record discussion had.)

(Exhibit J is marked for identification.)

14 BY MR. ZENGER:

Okay. This is Exhibit J, a declaration of 15 Q.

Gerald Hurd, and it's dated October 25th, 2005. And 16 17

I'll just read it into the record since Ms. Chang is

not here, and then I'll ask you a question; okay? 18 19

A. Uh hmm.

20 All right. This is called, "Declaration of Q.

Gerald Hurd. I, Gerald Hurd, hereby declare as 21

- follows, 1, I have been a water safety officer with 22
- the County since with about 1999; 2, Carl has been a 23 24
- water safety officer with the County since about 1991.
- I have known Carl ever since I became a County water

Page 20

- safety officer; 3, in 2002, Defendant Sid Kini was a
- fireman apparatus officer with Defendant, Kauai Fire 2
- Department, KFD; 4, from 2000 to the present, 3
- 4 Defendant, Ethan Sage, has been a water safety officer
- with the County. I have known Sage during all that 5
- time; 5, on Wednesday, March 20th, 2002, Kini held the 7
- United States Lifesaving Association, USLA, open water
- training class at the Hanalei pier. I was one of 8
- those in attendance; 6, later that day, Kini moved the 9
- USLA open water training class from Hanalei pier to 10
- tower 1; 7, most of the water safety officers in 11
- Kini's class walked from Hanalei pier to tower 1. 12

Sage and I were among them; 13 14

8, as we were along the beach from the Hanalei pier to tower 1, Carl and another water safety officer, Mark McKamey were working at tower 1. They were watching a woman in a rip current. She was not

far from shore and not in danger; 9, as Carl and Mark

McKamey were watching the woman, I saw and heard Sage making derogatory comments about them in a loud voice.

He said, quote, 'Look at the senior guards, they don't

know how to do their jobs, unquote; 10, when Carl

heard Sage say, 'Look at the senior guards. They

don't now how to do their jobs,' unquote, Carl said to

Sage, 'Get the fuck out of here. Don't tell us how to

Page 21

do you our jobs,' unquote;

11, Kini's USLA open water lifesaving class finished up behind tower 1 near the storeroom area. Nothing else out of the ordinary ever occurred; 12, at no time on March 20, 2002, did Carl ever raise his

voice or say any threatening words towards Kini or 6 7 Sage in any way. All Carl ever said the whole time to

Sage was, 'Get the fuck out of here. Don't tell us 8

how to do our jobs'; 13, on the morning of Friday, 9

10

March 22nd, 2002 I was at the Hanalei pavilion at about 8:00 a.m.; 14, I saw Carl walking out of the 11

men's bathroom tying his surf shorts, and I joined him 12 and we walked together to the tower 1 storeroom;

13 15, as Carl and I approached the storeroom, 14

Sage walked to within a few feet of us; 16, as Sage 15 approached Carl and I, Carl said to Sage, 'Good 16 morning, diaper boy'; 17, Sage responded to Carl by 17 saying, 'Carl, why the fuck do you have to be so 18 19 negative all the time?'.

20 18, right after Sage said to Carl, 'Carl, why the fuck do you have to be so negative all the time? Carl kept walking past Sage and said nothing; 19, at that point, Kini approached the area where Carl and I were. We were the only ones there. Everyone else was too far away to hear the conversation. Carl said to

LEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, EQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 22	D 24
1 Kini, quote, 'We told you, Sid, don't bring him around 2 here. Why did you bring him over here?' unquote; 20, 3 Kini did not respond to Carl and Carl immediately left 4 the area for his morning run toward and down the beach 5 without further discussion or incident with anyone; 6 21, at no time on March 22nd, 2002 did Carl ever raise 7 his voice, swear at, or say any threatening words 8 toward Kini or Sage in any way. I was with him the 9 whole time. 10 I declare, under the penalty of perjury, that 11 the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my 12 knowledge and belief. Executed this 25th day of 13 October, 2005 at Hanalei, Kauai, Hawaii. Signed 14 'Gerald Hurd'". And it's captioned in this case. 15 And here's the question, assuming that this is 16 true, the four corners of this declaration is true, is 17 there any probable cause to believe that Carl Ragasa 18 committed any crime on March 22nd, 2002?  11 I. MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive, and condany, were noted by me and the same is correct transcript of my testimony.  1 Dated:  1 I MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive, and condany, were noted by me and the same is correct transcript of my testimony.  1 I MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive, and condany, were noted by me and the same is correct transcript of my testimony.  1 I MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive, and condany, were noted by me and the same is correct transcript of my testimony.  1 I MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive, and condany, were noted by me and the same is correct transcript of my testimony.  1 I MICHAEL SOONG, 20 certify that I have read the foregoing to pages I through 23, inclusive,	ypewritten rections, if s now a true and ESQ.
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
20 incomplete and improper hypothetical. It's hearsay. 21 And this witness would not be competent to give that 21	
22 testimony as a legal conclusion. His testimony was 22	
23 Just with respect to his decision to terminate the 23	
24 prosecution, and what factors he utilized. And so on 24	
25 all those grounds, I move to strike this question and 25	
Page 23	Page 25
any answer that may come out.  MS. CHANG: Join in that objection.  A. If this declaration were the only evidence, this would be the entire police report, nothing else that was included in the police report, if this was the only evidence there was to make a decision based on, prosecution would probably be declined based on insufficient evidence.  MR. ZENGER: Okay. No further questions of this witness.  MR. CHING: Thank you again, Mr. Soong.  ———————————————————————————————————	14. Notary itness
24 25 25 21 22 23 24 25	

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 1

N)Charmonamony,		1	\$		
Hermann	$\mathbf{A}$	attention 6:9 14:13	3   10:17 19:23,25	coerced 18:5	19:24,25 20:5
	ABA 5:25	attorney 10:13	20:15,18,22,24	come 6:10 11:2,5	25:3
	ability 25:9	11:21 12:18	21:5,7,11,14,16	15:10 23:1	· · · -
	able 10:20	available 4:3 12:4	21:16,17,18,20,20	0 comes 9:24	couple 8:12 15:1 16:15
	accurate 10:3	<b>a.m</b> 1:14 4:1 21:11	21:22,23,25 22:3	coming 4:17	court 1:1 11:25
	accurately 9:19	25:5	22:3,6,17	commencement 4:	
	actual 9:18		case 4:14 5:11,21	commencing 1:13	Craig 8:23 10:10
	add 13:9	В	5:23,24 6:5,8,9,1	comments 20:20	10:11,12 11:1
	Additionally 9:4	<b>B</b> 3:11 9:6,7,10,15	7:9,22 8:12,17	commission 25:20	12:3
	address 4:19,21,22	10:6	9:20,25 10:4,21	committed 22:18	crime 12:15 22:18
- 1	affirmed 25:7	back 5:1 11:4,10	11:2,12,15,19	competent 22:21	Criminal 3:13
1	Alakea 2:9	17:7 18:19	12:5,13,14,16	complaint 3:13	CSR 1:19 25:4,19
1	lleged 15:10,15	<b>based</b> 15:5,14 18:9 19:4 23:6,7	13:6,19,22,25	7:14 11:7,13 14:6	current 20:17
1 8	mbulances 6:19	basis 6:13,15 8:2	14:12,14,15 15:12	completeness 18:3	
	6:23 7:4	bathroom 21:12	15:21,24 17:17,18		D
	American 2:6	beach 20:14 22:4	17:21 19:2,2,4	concluded 23:13	<b>D</b> 3:1,13 11:9 12:10
la	nalysis 15:4,14,20	behalf 1:11 4:6	22:14	conclusion 22:22	danger 20:18
1.	17:21	14:24	cases 5:14,15	condition 6:22	DARWIN 2:5
1	anderson 2:8	belief 22:12	cause 5:21 7:10	conduct 8:14	date 9:15
1	nswer 18:12 23:1 Anthony 8:23	believe 7:14,19,20	12:16 15:21 22:17		dated 3:10,11,12,14
£	pparatus 20:2	8:13 10:25 13:20	25:16	conform 10:16	3:15,16,17,18
	ppear 25:11,12	22:17	certain 18:19	consider 18:14,15	19:16 24:6 25:17
	PPEARANCES	best 14:18 22:11	certainly 18:25	19:1	David 1:6 2:10
	2:1	25:9	certify 24:2 25:5,15	consultation 12:4	day 20:9 22:12
a	ppeared 25:6	better 8:3	chance 17:13	consulted 12:6	24:17
	ppearing 14:22	beyond 5:21,23	Chang 2:8 14:21	contact 13:16,18	deciding 7:9
	oplicable 25:10	bias 13:25 14:1	16:8,11 17:8	contacted 10:23 11:14 13:22	<b>decision</b> 5:10 6:11 6:14 7:8 12:6
	opreciate 14:23	<b>Big</b> 11:22	18:10 19:17 23:2	contacting 14:10	13:3,24 14:6,11
	oproached 21:14	<b>Bishop</b> 1:23 2:5	change 15:20 17:21	contacting 14.10	22:23 23:6
	21:16,23	BMK 1:3	charge 12:16,25	conversation 21:25	decisions 5:6,7,18
aj	proximately 5:1	bottom 10:9	13:1,1,6,7,7,9	convictions 6:1	12:3,5,13,22,23
	8:21	boy 21:17	15:21	cooperation 4:15	13:10
aı	ea 21:3,23 22:4	break 17:6,12	charges 8:2 12:25	copies 9:4	declaration 3:19
	raignment 10:21	bring 22:1,2	<b>charging</b> 5:6,7,18	copy 8:22	19:15,20 22:16
1	rest 13:8	brought 6:8 14:12	11:23 12:3,6,13	Corlis 2:8 16:6	23:3
	rested 13:2,5	<b>business</b> 4:21,22	12:19,22,22 13:10	corners 22:16	declare 19:21 22:10
	ide 19:1	<b>C</b>	chief 7:12,12,14	correct 6:3 10:7,25	declined 7:19,20
	ked 10:24	<b>C</b> 3:12 9:6,7,10	chief's 14:15	14:3,8,9 15:6,7,22	23:7
	sault 15:12	10:8	Ching 2:5 3:3 4:10	17:18 22:11 24:5	<b>DeCosta</b> 8:23 10:10
	signed 11:24 12:1	calendar 11:5,10	16:6,18,25 17:3	25:9	10:11
	2:7	call 16:12	17:23,25 22:19	corrections 24:3	<b>Defendant</b> 1:11 2:5
	sociation 20:7	called 4:6 19:20		counsel 4:3 25:11	4:6 20:1,2,4
	sume 9:17 16:16	caption 25:16	Civil 1:3	25:14,15	Defendants 1:8 2:8
		captioned 22:14		County 1:6 2:8	define 8:15
		Carl 1.3 2.12 6.6	21:2		department 1:6 2:9
all	cardance 20.9		client 14:24	11:24 14:17 19:23	3:14,15,16,17 5:9
2698450				l	

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ.

VOVEMBER	8, 2005
	Page 2

				Pag
6:16,18,21,23,2	25 either 7:15 12:3	**	1	
7:2,5,22,24 8:4	1	feel 13:6	22:21	homicide 5:15
12:21 20:3	14:3,12 25:11 <b>Ekahi</b> 4:22	feeling 7:20	given 8:22 9:4	Honolulu 1.24 2.7
depo 16:9	elected 5:5	feet 21:15	go 5:11 15:24 16	:6 2:10
deposition 1:10	elements 8:18	felt 7:23	17:7 18:19 19:	2.4 hour 8:21
8:21,22,23 9:5,	10 12:15	file 10:24	going 6:22 9:9,10	HRCP 25:13
9:12 18:4 23:13	entire 23:4	filed 11:13	10:1,4,8 15:23,	24   Hurd 3:19 19:16,21
25:6,11	especially 13:17	find 18:23	16:1,16 19:9	19:21 22:14
deputies 12:1	18:1	finding 7:10	good 7:6 14:25	hypothetical 18:1,3
deputy 10:12 11:2	21 <b>ESQ</b> 1:10 2:2,5,8	fine 17:8	21:16	22:20
11:25 12:7	4:5 24:1,12 25:6	finished 17:23 21:		
derogatory 20:20	Ethan 1:7,11 2:5			25 I
details 7:13	3:18 4:13 18:2	3:16,17 6:16,18	guards 20:21,23	identification 3:8
<b>Detective</b> 8:9 13:1	3 20:4	6:21,23,25 7:2,4,		9:8 12:11 16:5
14:10	eventually 7:3	7:12,24 8:4 20:2	guidelines 12:17	19:13
determine 12:14	evidence 8:17 23:3	fireman 20:2		identify 11:20
diaper 21:17	23:6,8	11,720,01,20	H	immediately 22:3
different 12:25	exactly 7:13 16:10	10:12	H 3:17	impact 14:11,12
13:7	EXAMINATION	- I	half 8:21	improper 17:25
directly 18:22	3:2 4:9 15:2	five 16:2	Hanalei 20:8,10,1:	2   18:3 22:20
Disclosure 4:2	examined 4:7 25:7	follow 19:8	20:14 21:10 22:1	3 incident 7:11 22:5
discretion 5:17	Executed 22:12	following 4:4	hand 7:16 13:21	include 18:4
discussion 16:3	exhibit 9:6,7 10:6,8	follows 4:8 19:22	handled 7:21,24	included 16:20,21
19:12 22:5	11:9 12:10 16:4	F	handling 5:14	17:3 23:5
dismiss 7:8 9:24	17:15 19:13,15	18:23	hanging 17:9	inclusive 24:3
dismissal 18:8	exhibits 3:8 9:10	forced 6:24	happened 7:3	incomplete 17:25
dismissed 6:11 10:1	15:24 16:2,2	foregoing 22:11	15:17	22:20
10:18 11:3	17:13,20	24:2 25:9,10	happening 10:4	inconvenient 4:16
district 1:1,2 11:25	experience 15:9	forum 8:3	11:4	independent 13:4
12:1	expires 25:20	forward 5:11 19:3	happens 13:16	indicated 18:5,18
document 8:7	e-mail 3:10,11,12	19:4	harassment 15:13	individuals 8:13
15:25	10:5,14,22	forwarded 25:14	17:18	information 11:1,2
documents 9:3	e-mails 9:5,11,14	foundation 22:19	Hawaii 1:2,13,20	initial 8.8
12:24 16:15	9:18,19 13:12	four 16:1 22:16	1:24 2:4,7,10 4:23	initially 5:19 6:7,18
doubt 5:22,23	3.10,13.12	Friday 21:9	22:13 25:2,3,4,20	11:3
drug 5:14	F	fuck 20:25 21:8,18	head 13:17	input 12:23
duly 4:7 5:4 25:7	F3:15	21:21	hear 21:25	instructions 9:21
duties 5:5 6:4 11:23	facia 8:12,15 12:14	further 22:5 23:9	heard 14:15 20:19	insufficient 23:8
duty 6:1	15:12 17:18 19:2	25:15	20:23	interested 25:15
The second second second	fact 14:9	<b>G</b>	hearing 6:18	internal 7:21,23
<b>E</b>	factored 17:22	G3:16	hearsay 22:20	internally 8:4
E 3:1,14 16:4 17:13	factors 22:24	<b>.</b>	held 20:6	interrogatories
17:20	failed 25:12	A	help 16:13	8:24
earlier 14:15 17:15	fair 6:2 14:2	Gerald 3:19 19:16	helpful 16:12	involved 6:7 7:12
17:16	C 1		hereto 25:15	7:14 11:12
early 8:21 10:18			Hey 11:15 13:18	involvement 6:5
easy 7:7 14:22	00.40.	getting 9:25 13:22	history 6:15	involving 6:6
		give 4:21 19:6,6,10	<b>hmm</b> 19:19	in-between 12:20

## DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ. NOVEMBER 8, 2005

Page 3

Island 11:22	Lihue 1:13 2:4 4:	23 min 316.11.10.0	· ·	1
issue 8:5	lines 15:18		1 20.10	<b>police</b> 5:8,9 10:19
40° market	little 17:14	minutes 16:15	officers 20:11	12:2,21,22,24
J	live 4.20	missed 13:8	Offices 1:12	13:4 16 15:5 6 13
J 2:8 3:19 19:13,1	5 look 5:10 20 10.2	morning 21:9,17 4 22:4	\$	0   16:19 17:17,22
<b>jobs</b> 20:22,24 21:	1 11:15 13:19 16:	1	7:8 8:15 9:19	23:4.5
21:9	18:18 20:21,23	1	10:14,15,16 11:	6 political 6:17
join 18:10 23:2	looked 15:13 16:1	moved 20:9	12:20 13:24 14:	5 position 13.23
<b>joined</b> 21:12	looking 8:7	N	14:19 15:19 16:	24 prejudice 10:18
justice 6:1	lose 12:9	N 3:1	17:12 19:9,15,18	8 11:3
·	lot 6:15 13:4 17:4	name 4:11 6:6	23:9	present 2:12 18:23
K	loud 20:20	named 25:16	ones 17:14 21:24	20:3
Kaden 1:7 2:10	L.D 2:5	near 21:3	oOo 23:12	presumption 15:5
KANANI 1:3		necessarily 13:23	open 20:7,10 21:2	prima 8:11,15
Kapaa 4:20	M	negative 21:19,21	opinions 9:20	12:14 15:12 17:17
Kauai 1:6,6 2:8,9	M 1:19	never 13:16 14:3	10.2 19.10	19:2
4:25 5:9,16 11:24	machine 25:8	16:16	ordinary 21:4	print 25:8
14:17 20:2 22:13	mail 25:11	Notary 1:20 25:4	original 25:13,14	prior 4:3 12:6
kept 21:22	making 7:8 13:24	25:20	outcome 25:16	14:13 25:6
KFD 20:3	14:5,11 20:20	noted 24:4	The second second	private 7:4
kind 6:24,24 7:15	March 20:6 21:5	notice 1:14	PACTURE	privatized 6:20
13:2	21:10 22:6,18	noticing 25:14	PACIFIC 1:22	nrohable 5:20 7:10
Kini 1:7 2:11 14:2	mark 2.2 0.5 12.0	notified 25:10	page 3:2 11:9 15:25	12:16 15:21 22:17
14:7 20:1,6,9 21:6	16:1 19:9 20:16	November 1:14 4:1	16:12	probably 8:3 23:7
21:23 22:1,3,8	20:18	25:5,17	1 2 0	problem 4:18
Kini's 20:12 21:2	marked 9:7,9,23	number 3:2 4:19	paper 8:11 15:7	proceedings 4:4
know 4:15,16 5:19	11:8 12:10 16:4	4:23 12:10 16:4	part 6:20 8:9 9:16	25:7,9
8:8 13:17 14:13	19:11,13	16:12	12:12	production 15:25
15:11,16 20:22	Marlene 10:10	numbers 9:7	particular 13:25	prosecute 19:4
knowledge 12:7	Marvin 9:18 10:24		parties 25:12,15	prosecuting 10:12
14:18 22:12	13:13,21	0	pavilion 21:10	11:21
known 11:19 14:14	matter 7:18,21,23	objection 17:25	penal 11:7,13	prosecution 5:12
19:25 20:5	9:24 10:25 25:10	18:6 22:19 23:2	penalty 22:10	5:24 7:18 22:24
L	McKamey 20:16	objections 18:10	pending 11:19	23:7
lack 22:19	20:19	obligations 12:18	people 18:15,16,22	prosecutor 5:1,5,16
laid 15:11	mean 8:16 12:25	obviously 13:1	18:24	13:3 15:9
Law 1:11	Memo 3:14,15,16	occur 14:16 15:19	perjury 22:10	prosecutors 5:25
lay 7:25	3:17	occurred 21:4	person 6:6 7:25	13:11
left 22:3	men's 21:12	October 11:8 19:16	13:1	prosecutor's 11:22
legal 22:22	Mercedes 25:4,19	22:13	personal 14:1	11:24 12:21 19:7
lesser 13:7	merely 6:1	offense 8:18 15:12	personally 5:13 6:17	prove 5:21,23
Let's 12:9	merit 14:8	office 5:8 7:19	l j	provided 9:11
lie 18:16	merits 14:6,11	10:19 11:22,24	phone 4:19,21,23	Public 1:20 25:4,20
lifeguards 6:16,24	message 10:14	12:18,21 13:17	physical 7:16	pursuant 1:14 6:4
7:1,1,5	met 14:3	19:7	pier 20:8,10,12,15	25:13
lifesaving 20:7 21:2	Michael 1:10 4:5	officer 18:19,20	Plaintiffs 1:4 2:2	pushing 11:4
111c3aving 20: / 21:2	4:12 24:1,12 25:6	19:22,24 20:1,2,4	point 6:8 8:6 13:3	put 11:6
	,	,,,,		P.M 23:13

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ.

NOVEMBER 8, 2005 Page 4

Į.						
P-O/+ Milleran		1	1			
negotien (des	Q	relevant 18:6	3:18 4:14 14:1,	7   Sounds 14:25	tolombarro	
t-in-defendance.	question 18:7,12	remember 9:17	18:2.22 20:4.5		telephone 2:11	
e de la constante de la consta	19:18 22:15,25	report 8:7,8,9 15::	5 20:19,23,25 21:		1	
4	questions 14:21,2	4 15:6,14 16:19	21:8,15,15,16,1		tell 4:11,19 5:4 6:1	3
	17:5 23:9	17:17,22 23:4,5	21:20,22 22:8	SS 25:2	15:4 20:25 21:8	
	quick 10:2	reporter 16:1	satisfies 8:18	i i	terminate 22:23	
	Quinn 2:8	Reporter's 4:2	Savings 2:6	standards 5:25	terms 14:7	
	quote 20:21 22:1	REPORTING 1:2	2 saw 16:25 20:19	start 9:12	testified 4:8 14:16	
ĺ		reports 5:8,9 10:20		starting 13:2	testimony 8:1	
	R	12:2	saying 8:1 21:18	state 1:20 18:7 25		
	Ragasa 1:3,3 2:12	represent 4:13		25:4,20	Texeira 10:10	
	6:6 7:9 8:2,14	represents 25:9	screening 8:19 12 sealed 25:13			
	10:17 13:21 14:1	reschedule 4:15	f	6:2 8:5 14:2	14:22 23:11	
1	14:7 22:17	resolve 8:4	second 5:21	15:10,11,14 18:2	theft 15:13	
1	Ragasa's 7:22	respect 5:6,7 9:21	see 10:24	statements 8:12	thing 18:17	
	14:14	13:12 14:7 22:23	seeing 9:14	15:6 18:5,21,24	things 18:14,19	
l	aise 21:5 22:6	respond 22:3	seek 6:1	States 1:1 20:7	think 10:3,22,23	STATE OF THE PARTY
	aising 7:16	responded 21:17	seen 10:22 16:16,2	J	11:11,12,14 16:7	W (1997)
	ead 8:6,8 9:2	responded 21:17 response 10:5	send 12:24	Stifel 2:9	16:22,25 19:7	
"	17:15 19:17 24:2	retention 25:14	senior 20:21,23	storeroom 21:3,13	thoughts 9:20	18/2/2/2
۱.	eading 25:12		September 8:25	21:14	threatened 7:15	
	eal 10:2	review 8:22 10:2,14		Street 1:12,23 2:3,	5 threatening 8:13	0000
1	eally 11:11 13:3	10:20 12:2,13	set 10:17 16:8	2:9 4:22	21:6 22:7	N SECOND
	eason 18:17	14:13 15:7 17:6 17:13	setting 10:21	strike 22:25	three-minute 17:6	
	easonable 5:22,23	Į.	<b>Sharon</b> 1:19 25:4	stuff 15:16,16	time 5:13 6:10 8:10	
	ecall 6:18 8:8 9:14	reviewed 5:10 6:9	25:19	subsequent 18:8	10:13 11:19,23	S2000
	9:24	, · ·	Sheldon's 8:9	Suite 1:23 2:6,10	12:1,4 13:18	
ſ		reviewing 8:17	shore 20:18	4:22	14:23,23 18:8	
	ecant 18:15,15,17 eceive 12:2	17:20	shorthand 25:8	Suites 1:12 2:3	20:6 21:5,7,19,21	
		Richards 1:12 2:2	shorts 21:12	summons 11:7,13	22:6,9	
	ceived 10:19	right 6:19 9:4 15:8	show 9:9 15:23	supervision 25:8	times 13:4 18:16	
	ceiving 13:13	15:21 16:17,23	Sid 20:1 22:1	sure 7:13 16:21	19:3	
	ecess 17:10	17:7,15 19:20	Sidney 1:7 2:11	17:24	today 4:17	
	collection 11:10	21:20	sign 25:11	surf 21:12	told 15:11,16 22:1	
	cord 4:11 8:20	rip 20:17	signed 11:7 22:13	swear 22:7	towar 2:6 20:11 12	
	16:3 17:7 19:12	Rivera 13:13	24:15 25:11	sworn 4:7 25:7	tower 2:6 20:11,12	Section 2
	19:17	Rivera's 14:10	signing 25:12		20:15,16 21:3,13	Manager
	cords 8:23	Robert 1:6 2:10	similar 9:17 15:18	T	training 20:8,10	Water Company
	duced 25:8	<b>Roland</b> 9:21,25	Similarly 7:22	take 6:19,24,25	transcript 17:1	Miller
	fer 10:8,9 16:12	11:1,7,17,18,20	situation 15:8	11:15 13:19 15:19	24:5 25:9	
	erred 7:18 8:9	11:21,25 12:12	somebody 7:12,19	16:15 17:5	transition 7:7	
	erring 8:25 10:5	rule 18:2 25:13	13:5	taken 1:11,19 8:24	trial 10:21	
	lect 9:19	run 22:4	somewhat 13:20	25:7	true 15:6 16:18	
	resh 11:9	the second of	Soong 1:10 4:5,12	talk 6:22	22:11,16,16 24:4	
	arding 9:20		4:13,25 8:20	talking 9:25 13:25	TUESDAY 4:1	
rei	nterview 18:20	safety 19:22,24	14:22 15:4 16:14	talks 10:10	turn 14:19	
rela	ated 13:21	20:1,4,11,15	17:5,12 23:11	<b>Talon</b> 9:22 11:7,17	tying 21:12	
rela	tionship 12:20	Sage 1:7,11 2:5	24:1,12 25:6	11:20	typewritten 24:2	
			47.1,14 43.0	11.40		
166000			I			

DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL SOONG, ESQ.

NOVEMBER 8, 2005 Page 5

***************************************				
<b>3</b> 5' T	14 10 00 4 1 =	1		•
U	weren't 10:20 14:5	1	464 15:25 16:6	
<b>Uh</b> 19:19	we'll 9:5 11:8 14:2	1		Marking and a particular of the state of the
ultimate 13:24	witness 4:6 14:25	<b>15</b> 3:5 21:14 25:17	5	
ultimately 13:10	16:22 17:2 22:21	<b>16</b> 3:14,15,16,17,18		
Umi 1:12 2:3	23:10 25:6,7,10	21:15	524-PRSU 1:25	
unbiased 13:23	25:12,12	<b>17</b> 21:17	<b>561</b> 16:7	
understand 8:1	woman 20:17,19	<b>18</b> 21:20	<b>562</b> 16:7	
18:21	word 9:25	<b>1800</b> 2:10		
understanding 6:5	words 8:13 21:6	<b>184</b> 1:19 25:4,19	6	
10:17	22:7	19 21:22	620:9	
understood 6:21	working 20:16	<b>1991</b> 19:24	6-11-2008 25:20	
United 1:1 20:7	wouldn't 7:6 13:15	<b>1999</b> 19:23	6/4/02 3:14	
UNLIMITED 1:22	13:15 16:11		7	
unquote 20:22,24	written 8:24	2	7 20:11	
21:1 22:2	X	<b>2</b> 19:23	7th 11:8	
unsigned 25:13	X3:1	2nd 8:25	<b>737</b> 1:23	
unusual 13:14,20	A.J.1	<b>20</b> 21:5 22:2	13/1.23	
USLA 20:7,10 21:2	Y	<b>20th</b> 20:6	8	
ıtilized 22:24	yeah 10:3 17:3	<b>2000</b> 20:3	8 1:14 4:1 20:14	***
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	year 5:1	<b>2002</b> 5:1 20:1,6	25:5	
V	Jour 5.1	21:5,10 22:6,18	<b>8/28/02</b> 3:12	
verbally 7:15	Z	2003 5:2	8:00 21:11	
rictim 15:10,15	Zenger 1:12 2:2,2	2005 1:14 4:1 19:16	808 1:25	
19:3	3:5 15:1,3 16:10	22:13 24:17 25:5		
oice 20:20 21:6	16:14,24 17:4,11	25:17	9	
22:7	17:24 18:11 19:9	201 4:23	9 3:10,11,12 20:18	
s 1:5	19:14 23:9	<b>204</b> 1:13 2:3	9/29/02 3:15	
$\mathbf{W}$		<b>21</b> 22:6	96766 1:13 2:4 4:23	
/aived 25:12	0.	<b>211B</b> 1:13 2:3	<b>96813</b> 1:24 2:7,10	
/alked 20:12 21:13	<b>03-00540</b> 1:3	<b>22nd</b> 21:10 22:6,18 ]	,	
21:15	<b>05</b> 8:25	<b>23</b> 24:3		
alking 21:11,22	t the control of the control	<b>246-1010</b> 4:24		
ant 4:14,16 6:25		<b>25th</b> 19:16 22:12		
7:1 14:20 19:3	19:6 19:22 20:11	3		
anted 6:19,20	20:12,15,16 21:3	3 20:1		
arrants 5:24	21:13 24:3	1		
asn't 7:6,6,7 12:5	10 20:22	30(f)(1) 25:13		
atching 20:17,19	<b>10/22/02</b> 3:10,11	<b>3016</b> 1:12 2:3 <b>3088</b> 4:22		
ater 19:22,24,25	<b>10/3/02</b> 3:18	1		
20:4,7,10,11,15	1001 2.5	<b>365</b> 11:9		
21:2	1000 2.0	<b>383</b> 16:7	l	
27.0.10.4.11.6	1077 4.7	384 16:7	Tribally tri	
14.5 21.7 22.0	F = 41.4	391 16:7	WATER	
35.15	11.70 1.14 4.1 20.0	<b>395</b> 16:7	A STATE OF THE STA	
1 002	<b>12</b> 3:13 21:4	4	- Transmission - Tran	
	<b>12:20</b> 23:13	43:3 20:3		
ent 7:5 10:4 11:13	<b>13</b> 3:19 21:9	▼ J.J	1	